

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,818	09/16/2003	Gordon G. Guay	08935-298001 / M-5032	3443
26161 7590 06/07/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	. 06/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MCATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE OF THIS CALL OF THIS CA	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  nely filed, may reduce any  rs, prosecution as to the merits is
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	Tony Chuo  ears on the cover sheet with  (IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC  ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  (B6(a). In no event, however, may a report of the application to become ABA  date of this communication, even if time  earch 2007.  action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matter	h the correspondence address  ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION. by be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nely filed, may reduce any
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ears on the cover sheet with  (IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC  ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA date of this communication, even if tin  arch 2007.  action is non-final.  nce except for formal matte	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION. Only be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Inely filed, may reduce any
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MCATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THE OF THIS CALL OF THIS CA	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  nely filed, may reduce any  rs, prosecution as to the merits is
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE (a). In no event, however, may a reposite apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTICATE (a) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTICATE (a) and will expire SIX (6) MONTICATE (a) MONTICATE (b) MONTICATE (a) MONTICATE (b) MONTICATE (a)	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  nely filed, may reduce any  rs, prosecution as to the merits is
<u> </u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		11, 400 0.0. 210.
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 September 2006</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-26 are currently pending. New claims 20-26 have been added. Claims 1-26 do overcome the previously stated 102 and 103 rejections. However, upon further consideration, claims 1-26 are rejected under the following new 112, 102, and 103 rejections.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 11 recites the limitation "methanol" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claims 14 and 23-26 recites the limitation "the container" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 1745

6. Claims 11-15 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Deinzer et al (WO 03/043112) using (US 2006/0172171) as an equivalent English translation.

The Deinzer reference discloses a fuel cartridge "1" comprising a housing containing and in direct contact with methanol and having at least a portion of a wall "1b" that is disposed adjacent the fuel egress port "1a" of the cartridge that is comprised of metal; a fuel egress port "1a" supported by the housing; and remaining walls "312" of the cartridge that are made of elastomer which is thermally insulating (See paragraphs [0064],[0067],[0072] and Figure 3).

Examiner's note: The inner sleeve "312" is construed as being part of the wall of the housing. The limitation "sinking heat generated from external components to enhance a delivery rate of methanol in a vapor phase to the egress port of the container" is construed as intended use. Therefore, this limitation is not given patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1745

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-10 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawrence et al (US 2002/0197522) in view of Hirsch et al (US 2004/0209133).

The Lawrence reference discloses a fuel cartridge "39a" that supplies methanol to a direct methanol fuel cell comprising: a canister "92a" formed of anodized aluminum which is a thermally conductive material; a fuel bladder "86a" that is made of a plastic material which is thermally insulating; an exit port "88a", wherein at least a portion of the canister is disposed adjacent to the exit port (See paragraphs [0060],[0093],[0094]). It also discloses disposing a fuel cartridge "39" into a compartment of a portable electronic device "32" (See paragraph [0060]). It also discloses portable electronic devices such as computer laptops or notebooks (See paragraph [0064]).

Examiner's note: The housing of the fuel cartridge is construed as a two layer structure with one layer that is thermally conducting and the other layer that is thermally insulating. It is inherent that a portable electronic device such as a computer laptop comprises heat generating components. Therefore, since the fuel cartridge is in direct contact with the computer laptop, it would also be in thermal communication with a heat generating component of the portable electronic device because of the close proximity of the components. In addition, it is also inherent that a computer laptop comprises heat dissipating elements such as the CPU. Therefore, the fuel cartridge is disposed adjacent a heat dissipating element of the portable electronic device.

However, Lawrence et al does not expressly teach a surface area enhanced planar vaporization membrane residing in the fuel cartridge. The Hirsch reference discloses a removable fuel cartridge that includes a methanol delivery film that is a pervaporation membrane made of polyurethane that causes liquid methanol in the fuel cartridge to undergo a phase change to a vaporous fuel before it is delivered to the anode of the MEA (See paragraphs [0012],[0050],[0070]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Lawrence fuel cartridge to include a surface area enhanced planar vaporization membrane residing in the fuel cartridge in order to allow for the use of a high concentration fuel while using passive water management capabilities (See paragraph [0012]).

Examiner's note: The Lawrence fuel cartridge as modified by the Hirsch methanol delivery film would inherently permit heat that is generated by the component in the portable electronic device to increase a vapor pressure of the fuel in the housing to cause the fuel to egress from the cartridge as a vapor.

9. Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deinzer et al (WO 03/043112) using (US 2006/0172171) as an equivalent English translation as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Lawrence et al (US 2002/0197522). However, Deinzer et al does not expressly teach a fuel cartridge that is configured for a specific electronic device wherein the portion of the wall of the housing of the container is configured to be disposed adjacent a heating dissipating element of the electronic device. The Lawrence reference discloses a fuel cartridge "39" that is

Art Unit: 1745

configured for a portable electronic device "32" such that the housing of the fuel cartridge is disposed adjacent a heating dissipating element of the electronic device (See paragraph [0060] and Figures 1 and 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Deinzer fuel cartridge for use in a portable electronic device such that the portion of the wall of the housing of the fuel cartridge is disposed adjacent a heating dissipating element of the electronic device in order to more efficiently utilize the fuel cartridge as a heat sink for a portable electronic device.

10. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deinzer et al (WO 03/043112) using (US 2006/0172171) as an equivalent English translation as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Hirsch et al (US 2004/0209133). However, Deinzer et al does not expressly teach a surface area enhanced planar vaporization membrane residing in the container. The Hirsch reference discloses a removable fuel cartridge that includes a methanol delivery film that is a pervaporation membrane made of polyurethane that causes liquid methanol in the fuel cartridge to undergo a phase change to a vaporous fuel before it is delivered to the anode of the MEA (See paragraphs [0012],[0050],[0070]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Deinzer fuel cartridge to include a surface area enhanced planar vaporization membrane residing in the fuel cartridge in order to allow for the use of a high concentration fuel while using passive water management capabilities (See paragraph [0012]).

Art Unit: 1745

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 3/30/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-19 under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Deinzer et al, Lawrence et al, and Hirsch et al.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 1745

Page 8

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC

JONATHAN CREPEAU PRIMARY EXAMINER